In the High Court of Punjab and Haryana, Chandigarh

CWP No. 15026 of 2006

Date of Decision: October 23, 2006

Than Singh Rawat

…Petitioner

Versus

Gurgaon Gramin Bank and others

…Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE M.M. KUMAR
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE M.M.S. BEDI

PRESENT: Mr. Sachin Mittal, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

JUDGMENT

M.M. KUMAR, J. (Oral)

On 19.9.2006, the hearing of this case was adjourned by passing the following order:-

> " There is nothing on the record to suggest that the respondents are amenable to writ jurisdiction of this court within the meaning of expression "instrumentality or agency of the State", used in Article 12 of the Constitution. Accordingly, one last opportunity is granted to the petitioner to show as to how the respondents are amenable to writ jurisdiction.
>
> List again on October 23, 2006."

On the resumed hearing, no material has been placed on record showing that the respondents are amenable to writ jurisdiction of this Court and would fall within the expression 'instrumentality or agency of the State' under Article 12 of the Constitution.

Accordingly, no adjudication in the absence of material on the aforementioned subject could take place. The writ petition is dismissed as withdrawn with liberty to the petitioner to file a fresh one by placing on record complete material.

(M.M. KUMAR)
JUDGE

(M.M.S. BEDI)
JUDGE

October 23, 2006
Pkapoor